માં <u>ે</u>	Approved For Rel	ease 2003/12		RDP85	G00105R00010009001	
REFERRED T	25X1A9A REG	CEIVED		EASED	SEEN BY	
25X1A5	<u> </u>	DATE	· Nav		NAME & OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE
ile:	Panel	- 1965				
	Handl	KEYH			ntrols	
		•••••	••••••	••••		
		********	•••••	••••		
		••••••	••••••	••••		
	25X1A	∖2G				

25X1

WARNING

This document contains information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws U. S. Code Title 18, Sections 793, 794 and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States. It is to be seen only by personnel especially indoctrinated and authorized to receive information in the designated control channels. Its security must be maintained in accordance with regulations pertaining to the TALENT-KEYHOLE and Communications Intelligence Controls. No action is to be taken on any communications intelligence which may be contained herein, regardless of the advantage to be gained, if such action might have the effect of revealing the existence and nature of the source, unless such action is first approved by the appropriate authority.

Approved For Release 2003/12/09 : CIA-RDP85G00105R000100090014-0

TS 186042-a

19 October 1957

25X1A5A1

DRAFT ONE Contribution to "scrub-down" of the Panel Report

25X1A5A1

ORRA

- 1. Of the several types of observations in the draft peport, only one -- concerning the Tallinn system -- is, strictly speaking, an intelligence problem. With regard to this problem, we believe the panel has erroneously ascribed to the Tallinn system dual SAM-ABM capabilities. That the panel should come to a different conclusion than the National Intelligence Estimates is, we think, partly a result of their own background and previous views, and partly a result of the fact that they were briefed on the system partly by members of organizations which shared their views.
- 2. Other Panel conclusions, dealing with the relative strategic relation of the US and the USSR, were apparently based largely upon assumptions with regard to methods of comparison and US capabilities, which are not subjects of intelligence; we do, however, believe the Panel is undully pessimistic in their views. Still other conclusions, concerning the requirements for US intelligence to conduct broader assessments, largely reflect either limited contact the Panel has with the intelligence community and its products or a simple misconception about what Intelligence is (i.e., they want net evaluations which is not, and should not be, our business; such evaluation should be done, but not by Intelligence on its own.)
- 3. One general recommendation of the Panel in which we see some merit is that intelligence should think more of Soviet strategic capabilities as part of a single coordinated Soviet plan; that intelligence should engage more in advancing hypotheses concerning overall plans, as a way to test available evidence and as a vehicle for suggesting the most critical unavailable evidence. That the Panel was unhappy with our efforts in these directions was, we believe, partly a consequence of the fact that the briefing agenda was largely "hardware" oriented. (e.g., they were not briefed on 11-4).
- 4. Specific comments on each of the Panel conclusions is presented at annex.

MEMORANDUM FOR: Bruce Clarke
Attached is a copy of ONE's comments on 25X1A5A1 the Panel Report. last report is that the Panel has not been able to
agree on a text. When is still uncertain.) I intend to have a meeting on where we
go some time next week • Ed Proctor
19 Oct 67 (DATE)
FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101 1 aug 54 Which may be used. (47)

25X1A9A

25X1